

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/675,914	Applicant(s) SELLEN ET AL
Examiner SEUNG H. LEE		Art Unit 2867	

All Participants:

(1) SEUNG H. LEE

(2) Mr. W. Daley (Reg. No. 52471)

Date of Interview: 12 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

69

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner suggested to amend claim 69 to include claim limitation cited in claim 70 and to file a terminal disclaimer for patent application no. 10/675590, and Mr. Daley agreed to the Examiner's suggestion.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Examiner's Amdt

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)